

## WHY THE MYSTERY IN RELATIONS BETWEEN LOUISE VERMILYA AND UNDERTAKER BOYSEN

### Letters Written by Undertaker Prove He Was Not Frank in Statement Made to Coroner.

Why are Louise Vermilya and Undertaker Charles C. Boysen making so much mystery about the relations between them?

This is the question that is disturbing the police in charge of the Vermilya case today.

Last week Boysen made a long statement to Coroner Peter M. Hoffman.

He denied having been more than a casual friend to Mrs. Vermilya.

He referred to her as crazy, and apparently was so frank that his statement was accepted.

Now the police have discovered the following letter, supposed to have been written to Mrs. Vermilya by Boysen, while he was on that European trip for which Mrs. Vermilya says she lent him \$250.

Dear Louise:

It seems like an age since I saw you last. I would love to sit down and eat a real good meal with you once more. I can't get used to the cooking over here, but it won't be long now before I get back to dear old Chicago. Leave Liverpool July 16, on the Steamer Arabic, for New York. Am going from here to the Isle of Man, then to Dublin, Ireland. Am rather sorry I came alone. While I met some fine fellows and spent

some pleasant times, it was lonesome at times nevertheless.

I am feeling fine and hope you are too. It has rained every day since I left Montreal. Have not seen the sunshine one whole day. I don't blame anybody for not wanting to live over here. Give me dear old Chicago, and I will be satisfied for all time to come.

Hoping these few lines will find you well and happy and enjoying yourself, hoping to see you soon, I am,

Yours very sincerely,

(Signed) Charles

That is not the letter of a casual, nor a plain, ordinary, everyday friend.

If the relations between the undertaker whom Mrs. Vermilya employed to bury her dead and the woman accused of poisoning ten persons, were wholly innocent, why, the police ask, is there so much palpable untruth being told about these relations?

Why does Mrs. eVrmilya say she was interested in Boysen's business, and Boysen reply that she lies, and is crazy?

Why did Mrs. Vermilya refer to Boysen as "my affianced husband," and Boysen retort that she wasn't responsible for her actions?

The finding of the letter has spurred the police to new activity. Mrs. eVrmilya may have been crazy when she talked of Boysen as her affianced husband,